INYO COUNTY BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

Mental Health Services



Mental Health Services Act Annual Update Fiscal Year 2010/2011

POSTED April 5, 2010 through May 4, 2010

This MHSA Annual Update is available for public review and comment through May 4, 2010. We welcome your feedback via phone, fax, or email, or during the Public Hearing to be held on May 5, 2010.

Public Hearing Information:

Inyo County MHSA Wellness Center 587 N. 3rd Street, Bishop, CA Wednesday, May 5, 2010 at 1:00 pm

Questions or comments? Please contact:

Inyo County Behavioral Health 162 J Grove Street Bishop, CA 93514 Phone 760-873-6533; Fax 760-873-3277 gzwier@inyocounty.us

Thank you!

Table of Contents

Exhibit A: County Summary Sheet	1
Exhibit B: County Certification	2
Exhibit C: Community Program Planning and Local Review Process	3
Exhibit C1: Implementation Progress Report on FY08/09 Activities	5
Exhibit D: Previously Approved Program Description – CSS	9
Exhibit D: Previously Approved Program Description – PEI (PATHS Preschool)	10
Exhibit D: Previously Approved Program Description – PEI (PCIT)	11
Exhibit D: Previously Approved Program Description – PEI (Older Adult)	12
Exhibit E: MHSA Summary Funding Request – FY 2010/11	13
Exhibit E1: CSS Budget Summary – FY 2010/11	14
Exhibit E4: PEI Budget Summary – FY 2010/11	15

2010/11 ANNUAL UPDATE COUNTY SUMMARY SHEET EXHIBIT A

This document is intended to be used by the County to provide a summary of the components included within this annual update. In addition, it serves to provide the County with a listing of the exhibits pertaining to each component.

County:	Inyo																							
										Exhibits														
					Α	В	С	C1	D	D1*	Е	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	F**	F1**	F2**	F3**	F4**	F5**	G***	H****
For each annu	ual upda	ite/updat	e:		7	7	7	7			7													
Component		riously proved	Nev	v																				
☑css			\$	-				4	7			V												
☐ WET	\$	-	\$	-																				
☐ CF	\$	-	\$	-																				
□ти	\$	-	\$	-																				
✓ PEI			\$	-				7	7						V									
☐ INN	\$	-	\$	-																				
Total	\$	-		0																				
D-1(00 d				1												41		- 4 00	40					
Dates of 30-day public review comment period:				April 5 through May 4, 2010																				
Date of Publi	c Hearin	ng:		_			_	_	Wednesday, May 5, 2010 at 1:00 pm															
Date of subm Expenditure				ISA R	levenu	ue and	I						In pro	gress	; subı	missio	n date	to be	deter	mined	ı			

^{*}Exhibit D1 is only required for program/project elimination.

^{**}Exhibit F - F5 is only required for new programs/projects.

^{***}Exhibit G is only required for assigning funds to the Local Prudent Reserve.

^{****}Exhibit H is only required for assigning funds to the MHSA Housing Program.

COUNTY CERTIFICATION

County: Invo

County Mental Health Director Project Lead

Name: Gail Zwier, Ph.D. Name: Gail Zwier, Ph.D.

Email: gzwier@inyocounty.us Email: gzwier@inyocounty.us

Mailing Address: 162 J Grove Street Bishop, CA 93515

I hereby certify that I am the official responsible for the administration of county mental health services in and for said county and that the County has complied with all pertinent regulations, laws and statutes for this annual update/update, including all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component. Mental Health Services Act funds are and will be used in compliance with Welfare and Institutions Code section 5891 and Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations section 3410, Non-Supplant.

This annual update has been developed with the participation of stakeholders, in accordance with sections 3300, 3310, subdivision (d), and 3315, subdivision (a). The draft FY 2010/11 annual update was circulated for 30 days to stakeholders for review and comment and a public hearing was held by the local mental health board of commission. All input has been considered with adjustments made, as appropriate.

The County Mental Health Director approves all Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) projects.

The County has complied with all requirements for the Workforce Education and Training component and the Capital Facilities segment of the CFTN component.

The costs of any Capital Facilities renovation projects in this annual update are reasonable and consistent with what a prudent buyer would incur.

The information provided for each work plan is true and correct.

All documents in the attached FY 2010/11 annual update/update are true and correct.

Gail Zwier	<pre><to be="" pre="" signed<=""></to></pre>	prior to submission to the State >
Mental Health Director/Designee (PRINT)	Signature	Date

COMMUNITY PROGRAM PLANNING AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS

County: Inyo

Date: April 5, 2010

Instructions: Utilizing the following format please provide a brief description of the Community Program Planning and Local Review Processes that were conducted as part of this annual update/update per title 9 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 3300 and 3315.

Community Program Planning

1. Briefly describe the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process for development of the FY 2010/11 annual update/update. Include the methods used to obtain stakeholder input.

The Community Program Planning (CPP) process for the development of the FY 2010/11 Annual Update builds upon the planning process that we utilized for the development of our original Three-Year Community Services and Supports (CSS) Plan and our original Prevention and Early Intervention (PEI) Plan. These planning processes were comprehensive and included the input of over 450 diverse stakeholders through focus groups and surveys. With this information, we were able to determine the unique needs of our community and develop a MHSA program that is well designed for our county. The overall goals of the CSS and PEI Plans are still valid and provide an excellent guide for maintaining our MHSA services in FY 2010/11.

In preparation of the 2010/11 Annual Update, we analyzed data on our Full Service Partnership (FSP) clients to ensure that clients are successfully achieving positive outcomes. Outcome and service utilization data is analyzed and reviewed by the MHSA Leadership Committee to monitor clients' progress over time. This data has helped us to understand service utilization and evaluate client progress, and has been instrumental in our planning process to continually improve services for our consumers and families.

As this Annual Update simply maintains our original MHSA Plans, we did not conduct a new, formal stakeholder planning process. However, we continuously engage consumers and other stakeholders in discussions about the utilization of these funds through our monthly MHSA Leadership Committee meetings, weekly MHSA consumer meetings at our two Wellness Centers, and at the monthly Mental Health Board. In addition, we engaged stakeholders throughout the development of this request. There are also a number of consumers, family members, and other stakeholders who provide ongoing input into our MHSA services and activities.

This Annual Update was developed and approved by the MHSA Leadership Committee after reviewing data on our current programs (including FSP data), analyzing community needs based on ongoing stakeholder input, and determining the most effective way to further meet the needs of our unserved/underserved populations. All stakeholder groups and boards are in full support of this MHSA Annual Update and the strategy to maintain services as originally outlined in the CSS and PEI Plans.

2. Identify the stakeholder entities involved in the Community Program Planning (CPP) Process.

A number of different stakeholders were involved in the CPP process. Input was obtained from clients who utilize services at the Wellness Centers. The Wellness Centers are consumer-run programs where adults come together, facilitate classes, attend activities, and have a formal meeting each week. Through these regularly scheduled meetings, we obtained input from clients on ideas for maintaining and enhancing our Wellness Centers in both Bishop and Lone Pine. In addition, we obtained input from members of the MHSA Leadership Committee, which is comprised of MHSA staff, Consumers, Mental Health Director, program managers, fiscal staff, Quality Improvement staff, and others involved in the delivery of MHSA services. The CPP also included input from child and adult staff meetings in mental health services, the multiple agencies involved with children's services, and the Mental Health Board.

3. If eliminating a program/project, please include how the stakeholders were involved and had the opportunity to participate in the decision to eliminate the program/project.

No MHSA programs will be eliminated at this time.

Local Review Process

4. Describe methods used to circulate, for the purpose of public comment, the annual update or update.

This proposed MHSA Annual Update has been posted for a 30-day public review and comment period from April 5 through May 4, 2010. An electronic copy is posted on the County website with an announcement of the public review and comment period, as well as the Public Hearing information. A copy of the Annual Update has been distributed to all members of the Mental Health Board and the MHSA Leadership Committee. Copies of the Annual Update are available in the Bishop Behavioral Health Clinic; Bishop Social Services office; Health and Human Services Administrative office; Health and Human Services, Lone Pine office; and all county libraries, including the Bishop, Big Pine, Independence, Lone Pine, Furnace Creek, and Shoshone branches.

A public hearing will be held on Wednesday, May 5, 2010, at the MHSA Wellness Center, 587 N. 3rd Street, Bishop, CA, at 1:00 pm. Stakeholder questions and comments about the Annual Update are welcomed in writing during the posting period, or at the public hearing.

5. Include substantive comments received during the stakeholder review and public hearing, responses to those comments, and a description of any substantive changes made to the proposed annual update/update that was circulated. The County should indicate if no substantive comments were received.

Input on the MHSA FY 10/11 Annual Update will be reviewed and incorporated into the final document, as appropriate, prior to submitting to DMH for approval.

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT ON FY 08/09 ACTIVITIES

County: Inyo

Date: April 5, 2010

Instructions: Welfare and Institutions Code section 5848 specifies that DMH shall establish requirements for the content of the annual update and updates including reports on the achievement of performance outcomes for services. Provide an update on the overall progress of the County's implementation of the MHSA including CSS, PEI, and WET components during FY 2008/09.

CSS, WET, and PEI

1. Briefly report on how the implementation of the MHSA is progressing: whether implementation activities are generally proceeding as described in the County's approved Plan, any key differences, and any major challenges.

We have been very pleased with the implementation of our MHSA programs. The programs which have been developed have made a significant change in our service delivery system, helping us to keep clients in our community and support them to live independently. The development of the Wellness Centers in Bishop and Lone Pine for adults has created a warm, welcoming environment for our adults and older adults, so they have the support of our staff as well as from each other. The Bishop Wellness Center is co-located at the trailer park where many of the clients live, which allows them to easily access these consumer-run services.

The MHSA Human Services Supervisor who manages the Wellness Center(s) welcomes clients early in the morning, sharing a cup of coffee and discussions of how their evening went and plans for the day's activities. At both Wellness Centers, consumers meet and determine the hours of operation, the types of activities, the schedule of activities, and the goals of the program. Clients are always requesting additional hours of operation for the Wellness Centers. Some of the activities include cooking, budgeting, housing, employment, photography, writing, Karaoke, exercise, yoga, walking, gardening, canning, flowers, and education. Consumers have even submitted canned goods, photography, and art works to the annual county fair. All groups are facilitated by a consumer, which helps engage them in services and develops skills in organizing and implementing activities. In addition, we have a consumer-driven, co-occurring group that has between 8 and 15 members each week. This is one of our most popular groups, and is always well attended.

In FY 08/09, we opened a Wellness Center in Lone Pine one day a week. This center has provided a positive experience for clients in Lone Pine, allowing them to come together and share activities. Staff come to the center and work closely with the clients to meet their needs. For example, staff were successful in locating affordable, safe housing for three clients in the town in FY 08/09.

There is a Transition Age Youth (TAY) program at the Bishop Wellness Center one day per week. We have been working to engage more youth in these activities and promote their attendance. We hold a dinner each week and invite speakers to attend. In addition, youth learn life skills needed in the 'real world', as well as developing employment skills. Case managers help develop skills in independent living and cooking. They also go bowling as a group, to promote positive social interactions.

Staff has also conducted outreach activities to the Death Valley community. MHSA staff attend some of the community meetings in this remote area, to help residents feel included and reduce the stigma of mental health services. The MHSA Human Services Supervisor visited Death Valley three (3) times in FY08/09; she participated in community dinners, provided information on mental health services, and begin building a trusting relationship with community members.

In addition to our successes at the Wellness Centers, we designated two beds as crisis beds at our local residential program in Bishop, Progress House. This strategy has been very successful and helped to keep clients in the community and prevent inpatient hospitalizations. The closest inpatient facility for Inyo County residents is over 100 miles away, creating a huge barrier to accessing these services for high-risk, high-need clients. The two crisis beds at Progress House have created the opportunity to meet the needs of clients early in the crisis cycle, and we are often able to de-escalate the situation and keep the client in the community. Both clients and staff value the capacity to meet the client's needs in a local, residential environment.

The Full Service Partnership program served Transition Age Youth (TAY), adults, and older adults in FY 08/09. The program was expanded to also include children in the following year. The FSP program has been very successful at meeting the needs of our clients, providing the additional support needed to remain in the community and thrive.

We also increased the amount of time available for a nurse, to better meet the needs of older adults. By offering a 0.5 FTE nurse to this population, we have been able to coordinate physical health care with mental health care to help elders to stay in their homes and manage their health and mental health needs.

A consortium of local churches offers free lunches to community members three days a week. While many of our clients participate in the lunch service, there are also a number of our TAY and adult clients who volunteer their time to help cook and serve the meal. This is an excellent opportunity for clients to develop job skills and learn to give back to their community. The church welcomes the assistance our clients provide.

Clients also volunteer with the Salvation Army and with other community organizations. One client helps maintain the landscape and yards at local county offices. These volunteer activities are extremely effective at integrating our clients into the community and empowering them to make a difference.

Note: The Inyo PEI Plan was not approved until 09/10. As a result, there were no implementation activities in FY 08/09.

Note: The Inyo WET Plan is currently in the 30-day public review and comment period; it is anticipated that the WET Plan will be approved by the end of FY 09/10.

2. Provide a brief narrative description of progress in providing services to unserved and underserved populations, with emphasis on reducing racial/ethnic service disparities.

We have been providing outreach to the Latino and Native American communities and we continually try to develop activities and resources for these populations. Our bilingual, bicultural case manager does an excellent job of outreach into the community, helping to reduce discrimination and the stigma associated with mental health services, and bringing families in to services. Our bilingual, bicultural clinician is then able to work closely with the case manager to deliver services and coordinate with the case manager to meet the needs of these clients and their families. These individuals provide services in the home and community to help reduce barriers to services. They are also available to help transport clients to appointments and linking them to other services. They provide interpreter services in the clinic and at appointments, as needed.

We also help individuals in the community who are Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Questioning, Intersex, or Two-Spirited (LGBTQI2-S) to link them to support groups. Several of our clients attend the Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG) group online. This internet support group help expand our existing services and provide a positive, supportive community in this small, rural county.

We also provide outreach activities to the Native American community in Inyo County. Several of our clients are Native American; a few have been served in our Full Service Partnership program.

The Wellness Centers create a welcoming environment and have been very effective at providing services to our clients in Bishop and Lone Pine. These centers very helpful at engaging diverse clients and offering a broad range of activities to help them recover and thrive.

Services for all youth and adults, including the persons who have been identified as FSP, are culturally and linguistically competent. The race/ethnicity of persons served in the MHSA programs reflect the race/ethnicity of our county, with a number of our FSP clients from the Latino and Native American communities.

3. Provide the following information on the number of individuals served:

	CSS	PEI	WET	
Age Group	# of individuals	# of individuals (for universal prevention, use estimated #)	Funding Category	# of individuals
Child and Youth	3	,	Workforce Staff Support	
Transition Age Youth	19		Training/Technical Assist.	
Adult	180		MH Career Pathway	
Older Adult	5		Residency & Internship	
Total	207			
Race/Ethnicity			Financial Incentive	
White	184			
African/American			[X] WET not implemented in	
Asian			[X] PEI not implemented in 0	8/09
Pacific Islander				
Native American	10			
Hispanic /Latino	13			
Multi				
Other				
Other Cultural Groups				
LGBTQ				
Other				
Primary Language (other	than English	1)		
Spanish	6			
Vietnamese				
Cantonese				
Mandarin				
Tagalog				
Cambodian				
Hmong				
Russian				
Farsi				
Arabic				
Other				

PEI

- 4. Please provide the following information for each PEI Project:
 - a) The problems and needs addressed by the Project.
 - b) The type of services provided.
 - c) Any outcomes data, if available. (Optional)
 - d) The type and dollar amount of leveraged resources and/ or in-kind contributions (if applicable).

Note: The Inyo PEI Plan was not approved until 09/10. As a result, there were no implementation activities in FY 08/09.

_				_
-	hi	hi	iŧ	П
-		LJI	ш	\mathbf{L}

Select one:

Co	ounty: Inyo			Select one:				
Pr	ogram Number/Name: MHSA CSS System Transformation te: April 5, 2010	on Pro	gram	m				
		CS	S an	nd WET				
	iously Approved							
No.	Question	Yes	No					
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh.E1 or E2 accordingly; If no, answer question #2				
2.	Is there a change in the service population to be served?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #3				
3.	Is there a change in services?			If yes, complete Exh. F1; If no, answer question #4				
4.	Is there a change in funding amount for the existing program?			If yes, answer question #4(a); If no, complete Exh. E1or E2 accordingly				
a)	Is the change within ±15% of previously approved amount?			If yes, answer question #5 and complete Exh. E1or E2; If no, complete Exh. F1 and complete table below.				
				FY 09/10 FY 10/11 Percent				
				funding funding Change				
5.	5. For CSS programs: Describe the services/strategies and target population to be served. This should include information about targeted age, gender, race/ethnicity and language spoken of the population to be served.							
older Servi indiv ages comp crisis servi neces partic	adults (ages 60+)]; all genders; and all races/ethnicities. We observe for all populations help reduce ethnic disparities, offer poidual's unique needs and mental health. These services empand their families. Services are delivered in a timely manner orehensive assessment services; wellness and recovery actions services; short-term hospitalizations; peer-led self-help/supposes; and housing support. Our Adult and Older Adult Wellnessary services and supports in a welcoming environment. In	ffer a 'eer sup phasize r and a plann port gr less Ce addition	whate oport, wellr re sen ing; coups; nters	es [children (ages 0-17); transition age youth (ages 16-25); adults (ages 18-59); tever it takes' service approach in helping individuals achieve their goals. t, and promote values-driven, evidence-based practices to address each lness, recovery, and resiliency and offer integrated services for clients of all ensitive to the cultural needs of each individual. The CSS Program includes case management services; individual and group mental health services; s; education and employment support; anti-stigma events; linkages to needed s (located in Bishop and Lone Pine) provide adults and older adults with our Transition Age Youth program provides opportunities for youth to the opportunity to change our service delivery model and build				
Exist	ting Programs to be Consolidated							
No.	Question	Yes	No					
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?			If yes, answer question #2; If no, answer questions for existing program above				
			•					

_				_
$-\mathbf{v}$	hι	h	ıt	1
-		LJ	ш	-

Select one:

Co	unty: Inyo					Select one:					
	ogram Number/Name: PATHS Preschool (PEI Program)					CSS					
Da	te: April 5, 2010					☐ WET ⊠ PEI					
						□ INN					
	Prevention and Early Intervention										
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, com	plete Exh. E4; If no, answer qu	estion #2					
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?				pleted Exh. F4; If no, answer que						
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?				plete Exh. F4; If no, answer ques						
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?		☐ ☐ If yes, complete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5, 5a, and 5b								
5.											
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is	differer	nt than	n previously r	eported please provide revised of	estimates					
	Total Individuals: Total Families:										
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Unive	ersal	Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention					
	Total Individuals:										
	Total										
	Families:										
	ing Programs to be Consolidated	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \									
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?										

_			
$-\mathbf{v}$	nı	nit	
			- 1 4

Select one:

Co	ounty: Inyo					Select one:					
	ogram Number/Name: Parent-Child Interaction Therapy	(PCIT) Cor	nmunity Col	laboration (PEI Program)	□ css					
Da	te: April 5, 2010					☐ WET ⊠ PEI					
	Prevention and Early Intervention										
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, com	plete Exh. E4; If no, answer quest	ion #2					
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, comp	pleted Exh. F4; If no, answer questi	ion #3					
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, comp	plete Exh. F4; If no, answer questio	on #4					
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?		If yes, complete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5, 5a, and 5b								
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.										
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is	differen	t thar	n previously re	eported please provide revised esti	imates					
	Total Individuals: Total Families:										
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Unive	ersal	Prevention	Selective/Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention					
	Total Individuals:										
	Total										
	Families:										
Fyie	Existing Programs to be Consolidated										
No.	Question	Yes	No								
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?										
	· · ·		-								

_			
$-\mathbf{v}$	nı	nit	
			- 1 4

Pro	County: Inyo Program Number/Name: Older Adult Prevention and Early Intervention Services (PEI Program) Date: April 5, 2010 Prevention and Early Intervention									
No.	Question	Yes	No	ny intervent	lion					
1.	Is this an existing program with no changes?			If yes, com	plete Exh. E4; If no, answer question #	# 2				
2.	Is there a change in the Priority Population or the Community Mental Health Needs?			If yes, comp	oleted Exh. F4; If no, answer question #	‡ 3				
3.	Is the current funding requested greater than 15% of the previously approved amount?			If yes, comp	plete Exh. F4; If no, answer question #4	1				
4.	Is the current funding requested greater than 35% less of the previously approved amount?	☐ ☐ If yes, complete Exh. F4; If no, answer questions 5, 5a, and 5b								
5.	Describe the proposed changes to the Previously Approved Program and the rationale for those changes.									
5a.	If the total number of Individuals to be served annually is of	differen	t than	n previously r	eported please provide revised estimate	es				
	Total Individuals: Total Families:									
5b.	If the total number of clients by type of prevention annually is different than previously reported please provide revised estimates:	Unive	ersal I	Prevention	Selective/Indicated E Prevention	Early Intervention				
	Total Individuals:									
	Total Families:									
	Families:									
Exist	Existing Programs to be Consolidated									
	Question	Yes	No							
1.	Is this a consolidation of two or more existing programs?		\boxtimes							

MHSA SUMMARY FUNDING REQUEST

County: Inyo Date: 04/05/10

	MHSA Funding							
	css	WET	CFTN	PEI	INN	Local Prudent Reserve		
A. FY 2010/11 Planning Estimates								
Published Planning Estimate	\$899,900			\$147,400				
2. Transfers								
Adjusted Planning Estimates	\$899,900							
B. FY 2010/11 Funding Request								
1. Requested Funding in FY 2010/11	\$899,900			\$147,400				
2. Requested Funding for CPP								
3. Net Available Unexpended Funds								
a. Unexpended FY 06/07 Funds								
b. Unexpended FY 2007/08 Funds ^{a/}								
c. Unexpended FY 2008/09 Funds	\$145,972			\$158,266				
d. Adjustment for FY 2009/2010	\$145,972			\$158,266				
e. Total Net Available Unexpended Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
4. Total FY 2010/11 Funding Request	\$899,900	\$0	\$0	\$147,400	\$0			
C. Funds Requested for FY 2010/11								
1. Previously Approved Programs/Projects								
a. Unapproved FY 06/07 Planning Estimates								
b. Unapproved FY 07/08 Planning Estimates ^{a/}								
c. Unapproved FY 08/09 Planning Estimates								
d. Unapproved FY 09/10 Planning Estimates								
e. Unapproved FY10/11 Planning Estimates	\$899,900			\$147,400				
Sub-total	\$899,900	\$0		\$147,400	\$0			
f. Local Prudent Reserve								
2. New Programs/Projects								
a. Unapproved FY 06/07 Planning Estimates								
b. Unapproved FY 07/08 Planning Estimates ^{a/}								
c. Unapproved FY 08/09 Planning Estimates								
d. Unapproved FY 09/10 Planning Estimates								
e. Unapproved FY10/11 Planning Estimates								
Sub-total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
f. Local Prudent Reserve								
3. FY 2010/11 Total Allocation ^{b/}	\$899,900	\$0	\$0	\$147,400	\$0			

a/Only applies to CSS augmentation planning estimates released pursuant to DMH Info. Notice 07-21, as the FY 07/08 Planning Estimate for CSS is scheduled for reversion on June 30, 2010.

b/ Must equal line B.4. for each component.

County:	Inyo	Date: 04/05/1	

CSS Programs		FY 10/11						Estimated MHSA Funds by Age Group			
	No.	Name	Requested MHSA Funding	Full Service Partnerships (FSP)	General System Development	Outreach and Engagement	MHSA Housing Program	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult
		Previously Approved Programs									
1.	1	System Transformation	\$767,178	\$391,261	\$300,734	\$75,183		\$153,436	\$191,795	\$268,512	\$153,436
2.			\$0								
3.			\$0								
4.			\$0								
5.			\$0								
6.			\$0								
7.			\$0								
8.			\$0								
9.			\$0								
10.			\$0								
11.			\$0								
12.			\$0								
13.			\$0								
14.			\$0								
15.			\$0								
16.	Subtot	al: Programs ^{a/}	\$767,178	\$391,261	\$300,734	\$75,183	\$0	\$153,436	\$191,795	\$268,512	\$153,436
17.	Plus up	p to 15% County Administration	\$115,077								
		p to 10% Operating Reserve	\$17,645								
	Subtota Reserv	al: Previously Approved Programs/County Admin./Operating	\$899,900								
		New Programs									
1.			\$0								
2.			\$0								
3.			\$0								
4.			\$0								
5.			\$0								
6.	Subtot	al: Programs ^{a/}	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7.	Plus up	p to 15% County Administration									
8.	Plus up	p to 10% Operating Reserve									
9.	Subtot	al: New Programs/County Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0								
10.	Total	MHSA Funds Requested for CSS	\$899,900								

a/ Majority of funds must be directed towards FSPs (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 9, § 3620, subd. (c)). Percent of Funds directed towards FSPs=

51.00%

Additional funding sources for FSP requirement:

County must provide the majority of MHSA funding toward Full Service Partnerships (FSPs). If not, the county must list what additional funding sources and amount to be used for FSPs. In addition, the funding amounts must mat Annual Cost Report. Refer to DMH FAQs at http://www.dmh.ca.gov/Prop_63/ MHSA/Community_Services_and_Supports/docs/FSP_FAQs_04-17-09.pdf

CSS Majority of Funding to FSPs Other Funding Sources

_		other running courses								
	CSS	State General	Other State	Medi-Cal FFP	Medicare	Other	Re-	County	Other Funds	Total
		Fund	Funds			Federal Funds	alignment	Funds		
						i uiius				
Total Mental Health Expenditures:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

FY 2010/11 EXHIBIT E4 PEI BUDGET SUMMARY

County: Inyo Date: 04/05/10

		PEI Programs	EV 40/44	Estimated	MHSA Funds	by Type of	Estin	nated MHSA F	unds by Age G	roup
	No.	Name	FY 10/11 Requested MHSA Funding	Universal Prevention	Selected/ Indicated Prevention	Early Intervention	Children and Youth	Transition Age Youth	Adult	Older Adult
		Previously Approved Programs								
1.	1	PATHS Preschool	\$32,753		\$24,565	\$8,188	\$32,753			
2.	2	PCIT Community Collaboration	\$46,802			\$46,802	\$46,802			
3.	3	Older Adult PEI Services	\$46,802			\$46,802				\$46,802
4.			\$0							
5.			\$0							
6.			\$0							
7.			\$0							
8.			\$0							
9.			\$0							
10.			\$0							
11.			\$0							
12.			\$0							
13.			\$0							
14.			\$0							
15.			\$0							
16.	Subto	tal: Programs	\$126,357	\$0	\$24,565	\$101,792	\$79,555	\$0	\$0	\$46,802
17.	Plus u	p to 15% County Administration	\$18,153							
18.	Plus u	p to 10% Operating Reserve	\$2,890							
	Subto	tal: Previously Approved Programs/County								
19.	Admir	n./Operating Reserve	\$147,400							
	,	New Programs								
1.			\$0							
2.			\$0							
3.			\$0							
4.			\$0							
5.			\$0							
		tal: Programs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		p to 15% County Administration								
		p to 10% Operating Reserve								
		tal: New Programs/County Admin./Operating Reserve	\$0							
10.	Total	MHSA Funds Requested for PEI	\$147,400							